# APPENDIX A DETAILED TABLES

## APPENDIX A. DETAILED TABLES

Table		Page
A-1.	Expenditures for the maintenance/repair of existing academic research instruments, by type of unit and type of expenditure: 1982 to 1993	51
A-2.	Reported adequacy of maintenance/repair on research instruments, by field of science and engineering: 1994	52
A-3.	Expenditures for the operation of existing academic research instruments, by type of unit and type of expenditure: 1988-89 and 1993	53
A-4.	Reported availability of resources to operate current instruments, by field of science and engineering: 1994	54
A-5.	Expenditures for the purchase of academic research instruments, by type of unit and source of funds: 1982-83 to 1993	55
A-6.	Median expenditures for the maintenance/repair of existing academic research instruments, by type of unit and type of expenditures: 1982-83 to 1993	56
A-7.	Median expenditures for the operation of existing academic research instruments, by type of unit and type of expenditure: 1988-89 and 1993	57
A-8.	Reported change over the past two years in instrument needs, by type of unit and field of science and engineering: 1994	58
A-9.	Overall capability of research instruments to enable existing faculty investigators to pursue their major research interests, by field of science and engineering: 1994	59
A-10.	Median cost to purchase the top priority item requested and percent of respondents requesting that item, by major type of instrument and field of science and engineering: 1994	60
A-11.	Total cost and percent of total cost to purchase the top priority item requested, by major type of instrument and field of science and engineering: 1994	61
A-12.	Total cost and percent of total cost to purchase the top three priority items requested, by major type of instrument and field of science and engineering: 1994	62
A-13.	Total cost to purchase the top three priority items requested, by type of instrument and field of science and engineering: 1994	63

### Table A-1. Expenditures for the maintenance/repair of existing academic research instruments, by type of unit and type of expenditure: 1982-83 to 1993

### [Dollars in millions]

Page 1 of 1

Type of unit and type of expenditure	Survey year						
	1982-83	1985-86	1988-89	1993			
All units:							
Total, maintenance/repair			\$289	\$234			
Service contracts and field service Other (salaries, tools, etc.)	 	 	158 131	 			
Units with all instruments costing less than \$1,000,000:							
Total, maintenance/repair	\$100	\$140	173	162			
Service contracts and field service	40 59	68 72	86 87	 			
Units with an instrument costing \$1,000,000 or more:							
Total, maintenance/repair			116	72			
Service contracts and field service Other (salaries, tools, etc.)	 	 	71 44	 			

**NOTES:** This table, which includes data for all four survey cycles, is presented in a three-part format to reflect the changing coverage of instruments in the survey.

In 1982-83 and 1985-86 data were collected only for instruments (and their corresponding units) with an original purchase price of \$10,000-\$999,999.

In 1988-89 and 1993 coverage was expanded to include instruments with an original purchase price of \$1,000,000 or more.

In 1993, the minimum purchase price of an in-scope instrument was changed from \$10,000 to \$20,000.

For consistency, data from the 1982-83, 1985-86, and 1988-89 surveys were standardized using the same minimum purchase price criterion of \$20,000 in constant 1993 dollars, based on the GDP implicit price deflator. The \$1,000,000 criterion was also standardized in constant 1993 dollars.

Because of rounding, details may not add to totals.

**KEY:** -- = data not collected in that survey year

Table A-2. Reported adequacy of maintenance/repair on research instruments, by field of science and engineering: 1994

[Percent]

Page 1 of 1

Field of science and engineering		Mean rating				
	Excellent		Adequate		Poor	
Total	6%	20%	46%	23%	5%	3.0
Engineering Chemistry Physics/astronomy Environmental sciences	6	13	49	23	9	3.2
	3	9	50	28	11	3.4
	5	24	47	21	2	2.9
	11	13	36	36	4	3.1
Computer science Academic departments Computer facilities	13	41	27	14	5	2.6
	14	32	21	24	9	2.8
	12	52	33	3	1	2.3
Agricultural sciences Biological sciences Other, multidisciplinary	*	13	53	28	5	3.2
	5	25	47	19	3	2.9
	6	25	45	23	2	2.9

**NOTES:** Reported adequacy of maintenance/repair was rated on a scale from 1 (excellent) to 5 (poor).

Because of rounding, percents may not add to 100.

**KEY:** \* = less than 0.5 percent

### Table A-3. Expenditures for the operation of existing academic research instruments, by type of unit and type of expenditure: 1988-89 and 1993

### [Dollars in millions]

Page 1 of 1

	Surve	Survey year			
Type of unit and type of expenditure	Curvo	, your			
	1988-89	1993			
All units:					
Total, operation <sup>1</sup>	\$726	\$563			
Salaries to operate research instruments Other operating costs	538 187	-			
Units with all instruments costing less than \$1,000,000:					
Total, operation <sup>1</sup>	415	36			
Salaries to operate research instruments Other operating costs	340 76	-			
Units with an instrument costing \$1,000,000 or more:					
Total, operation <sup>1</sup>	310	19			
Salaries to operate research instruments Other operating costs	199 112				

Total operation includes salaries for technicians or other personnel paid to operate research instruments, and costs of supplies and materials used in operating the instruments.

#### NOTES:

In 1993, the minimum purchase price of an in-scope instrument was changed from \$10,000 to \$20,000. For consistency, data from the 1988-89 survey were standardized using the same minimum purchase price criterion of \$20,000 in constant 1993 dollars, based on the GDP implicit price deflator. The \$1,000,000 criterion was also standardized in constant 1993 dollars.

Because of rounding, details may not add to totals.

KEY: -- = data not collected in that survey year

Table A-4. Reported availability of resources to operate current instruments, by field of science and engineering: 1994

[Percent]

Page 1 of 1

						i age i oi i
Field of science and engineering		Mean rating				
	Excellent		Adequate		Poor	
Total	2%	9%	47%	34%	8%	3.4
Engineering	1 1 2 1	10 4 5 5	47 45 51 42	30 40 40 41	12 10 2 12	3.4 3.5 3.3 3.6
Computer science	2 1 2	17 26 8	49 32 67	27 32 20	6 9 3	3.2 3.2 3.1
Agricultural sciences Biological sciences Other, multidisciplinary	0 3 6	5 9 6	36 50 31	47 31 55	12 6 2	3.7 3.3 3.4

NOTES: Reported availability of resources was rated on a scale from 1 (excellent) to 5 (poor).

Because of rounding, percents may not add to 100.

Table A-5. Expenditures for the purchase of academic research instruments, by type of unit and source of funds: 1982-83 to 1993

[Dollars in millions]

Page 1 of 1

				Page 1 01 1
Type of unit and source of funds		Surve	y year	
	1982-83	1985-86	1988-89	1993
Total, all units				\$1,203
Federal funds				624
Non-Federal funds				580
Institution or unit funds State grant or appropriation <sup>1</sup> Industry Other non-Federal sources <sup>2</sup>	  	  	  	292 102 80 105
Total, units with all instruments costing less than \$1,000,000	\$397 199	\$669 329		935 433
Non-Federal funds	198	340		502
Institution or unit funds	95 42 33 28	149 90 58 42	  	253 84 71 94
Total, units with an instrument costing \$1,000,000 or more				268
Federal funds				190
Non-Federal funds				77
Institution or unit funds State grant or appropriation <sup>1</sup> Industry	 	  	 	39 19 9
Other non-Federal sources <sup>2</sup>				11
Carlot Hori i Gueral Sources				

In survey years 1982-83 and 1985-86 the question was worded "State equipment or capital development appropriations."

**NOTES:** This table, which includes data for all four survey cycles, is presented in a three-part format to reflect the changing coverage of instruments in the survey.

In 1982-83 and 1985-86 data were collected only for instruments (and their corresponding units) with an original purchase price of \$10,000-\$999,999.

In 1988-89 and 1993 coverage was expanded to include instruments with an original purchase price of \$1,000,000 or more.

In 1993, the minimum purchase price of an in-scope instrument was changed from \$10,000 to \$20,000.

For consistency, data from the 1982-83, 1985-86, and 1988-89 surveys were standardized using the same minimum purchase price criterion of \$20,000 in constant 1993 dollars, based on the GDP implicit price deflator. The \$1,000,000 criterion was also standardized in constant 1993 dollars.

Because of rounding, details may not add to totals.

**KEY:** -- = data not collected in that survey year

Other non-Federal includes private, nonprofit foundations, gifts/donations, and bonds.

Table A-6. Median expenditures for the maintenance/repair of existing academic research instruments, by type of unit and type of expenditures: 1982-83 to 1993

Page 1 of 1

Type of unit and type of expenditure	Survey year						
	1982-83	1985-86	1988-89	1993			
All units:							
Total, maintenance/repair			\$43	\$30			
Service contracts and field service Other (salaries, tools, etc.)	 	 	19 16	 			
Units with all instruments costing less than \$1,000,000:							
Total, maintenance/repair	\$22	\$34	38	25			
Service contracts and field service Other (salaries, tools, etc.)	9	14 12	16 14	 			
Units with an instrument costing \$1,000,000 or more:							
Total, maintenance/repair			210	128			
Service contracts and field service Other (salaries, tools, etc.)	 	 	75 50	 			

**NOTES:** This table, which includes data for all four survey cycles, is presented in a three-part format to reflect the changing coverage of instruments in the survey.

In 1982-83 and 1985-86 data were collected only for instruments (and their corresponding units) with an original purchase price of \$10,000-\$999,999.

In 1988-89 and 1993 coverage was expanded to include instruments with an original purchase price of \$1,000,000 or more.

In 1993, the minimum purchase price of an in-scope instrument was changed from \$10,000 to \$20,000.

For consistency, data from the 1982-83, 1985-86, and 1988-89 surveys were standardized using the same minimum purchase price criterion of \$20,000 in constant 1993 dollars, based on the GDP implicit price deflator. The \$1,000,000 criterion was also standardized in constant 1993 dollars.

Because of rounding, details may not add to totals.

**KEY:** -- = data not collected in that survey year

Table A-7. Median expenditures for the operation of existing academic research instruments, by type of unit and type of expenditure: 1988-89 and 1993

Page 1 of 1

		r ago r or r		
Type of unit and type of expenditure	Surve	Survey year		
	1988-89	1993		
All units:				
Total, operation <sup>1</sup>	\$75	\$47		
Salaries to operate research instruments Other operating costs	60 0	 		
Units with all instruments costing less than \$1,000,000:				
Total, operation <sup>1</sup>	60	40		
Salaries to operate research instruments Other operating costs	50 0	 		
Units with an instrument costing \$1,000,000 or more:				
Total, operation <sup>1</sup>	397	200		
Salaries to operate research instruments Other operating costs	217 80			

Total operation includes salaries for technicians or other personnel paid to operate research instruments, and costs of supplies and materials used in operating the instruments.

NOTES:

In 1993, the minimum purchase price of an in-scope instrument was changed from \$10,000 to \$20,000. For consistency, data from the 1988-89 survey were standardized using the same minimum purchase price criterion of \$20,000 in constant 1993 dollars, based on the GDP implicit price deflator. The \$1,000,000 criterion was also standardized in constant 1993 dollars.

Because of rounding, details may not add to totals.

KEY: -- = data not collected in that survey year

Table A-8. Reported change over the past two years in instrument needs, by type of unit and field of science and engineering: 1994

[Percent]

Page 1 of 1

		Reported of	change in instrun	nent needs		
Type of unit and field of science and engineering	Substantially increased	Increased	Remained about the same	Decreased	Substantially decreased	Mean rating
Total, all units	24%	45%	30%	2%	*	2.1
Engineering Chemistry Physics/astronomy Environmental sciences	24 36 24 29	44 42 38 44	30 21 37 20	2 1 0 4	0% 0 0 3	2.1 1.9 2.1 2.1
Computer science	29 32 25	39 57 18	31 11 55	1 0 2	0 0 0	2.0 1.8 2.3
Agricultural sciences	19	40 48 51	34 32 23	2 1 3	1 0 0	2.2 2.1 2.1
Total, units with all instruments costing less than \$1,000,000	24	45	29	2	*	2.1
Engineering	24 38 27 30	44 41 32 45	30 19 41 18	2 1 0 3	0 0 0 3	2.1 1.8 2.1 2.1
Computer science Academic departments Computer facilities	28 27 30	55 60 31	17 12 39	0 0 0	0 0 0	1.9 1.8 2.1
Agricultural sciences Biological sciences Other, multidisciplinary		40 48 51	34 32 23	2 1 3	2 0 0	2.2 2.1 2.1
Total, units with an instrument costing \$1,000,000 or more	22	34	43	1	0	2.2
Engineering	31 S 13 15	25 S 64 34	44 S 23 47	0 0 0 4	0 0 0	2.1 S 2.1 2.4
Computer science	30 S 23	18 S 15	50 0 59	2 0 2	0 0 0	2.2 S 2.4
Agricultural sciences Biological sciences Other, multidisciplinary	0	s s s	0 S S	0 0 0	0 0 0	S S S

NOTES: Reported change in instrumentation needs was rated on a scale from 1 (substantially increased) to 5 (substantially decreased).

Reported change in instrumentation needs refers to the period 1992 to 1994.

Because of rounding, percents may not add to 100.

KEY:

\* = less than 0.5 percent S = fewer than 10 cases for analysis

Table A-9. Overall capability of research instruments to enable existing faculty investigators to pursue their major research interests, by field of science and engineering: 1994

[Percent]

Page 1 of 1

Field of science and engineering		Mean rating							
	Excellent		Adequate		Poor				
Total	5%	20%	33%	39%	3%	3.2			
Engineering Chemistry Physics/astronomy Environmental sciences		18 22 15 22	32 12 29 30	45 49 48 43	4 12 1 3	3.3 3.4 3.2 3.2			
Computer science Academic departments Computer facilities	2 1 4	22 27 16	38 46 30	28 9 50	9 17 1	3.2 3.1 3.3			
Agricultural sciences Biological sciences Other, multidisciplinary	2 8 2	15 24 9	48 35 40	34 32 47	1 * 1	3.2 2.9 3.4			

**NOTES:** Overall capability of research instruments was rated on a scale from 1 (excellent) to 5 (poor).

Because of rounding, percents may not add to 100.

**KEY:** \* = less than 0.5 percent

# Table A-10. Median cost to purchase the top priority item requested and percent of respondents requesting that item, by major type of instrument and field of science and engineering: 1994

### [Dollars]

Page 1 of 1

Field of science and engineering	All instr			Computers and data handling instruments		Chromatographs and spectrometers		Microscopy instruments		Bioanalytical instruments		Other instruments	
	Median cost	Percent of respon- dents	Median cost	Percent of respon- dents	Median cost	Percent of respon- dents	Median cost	Percent of respon- dents	Median cost	Percent of respon- dents	Median cost	Percent of respon- dents	
Total	\$100,000	100%	\$75,000	28%	\$200,000	21%	\$150,000	11%	\$50,000	20%	\$100,000	20%	
Engineering Chemistry Physics/astronomy Environmental sciences	120,000 300,000 120,000 80,000		75,000 S 80,000 50,000	14 25	166,500 400,000 S 150,000	23 79 4 33	500,000 S S 150,000	7 6 4 10	S S S	2 0 9 3	140,000 S 200,000 60,000	32 1 59 19	
Computer science Academic departments Computer facilities	125,000 100,000 175,000		127,500 100,000 200,000	100	S S S	0 0 0	S S S	0 0 0	S S S	0 0 0	S S S	1 0 1	
Agricultural sciences Biological sciences Other, multidisciplinary	50,000 80,000 100,000	100 100 100	50,000 60,000 S		60,000 200,000 S	25 14 26	\$ 150,000 \$	3 19 14	32,500 60,000 S	44 41 40	90,000 S	11 12 11	

**NOTE:** Data are for the 90 percent of respondents who reported a need for instruments costing \$20,000 or more. The remaining 10 percent of respondents reported that their units did not need any additional instrumentation in that price range.

Because of rounding, details may not add to totals.

**KEY:** S = fewer than 10 cases for analysis

Table A-11. Total cost and percent of total cost to purchase the top priority item requested, by major type of instrument and field of science and engineering: 1994

Page 1 of 1

Field of science and	All instr	ruments	Computers and data handling instruments		Chromatographs and spectrometers		Microscopy instruments		Bioanalytical instruments		Other instruments	
engineering	Total cost	Percent of total	Total cost	Percent of total	Total cost	Percent of total	Total cost	Percent of total	Total cost	Percent of total	Total cost	Percent of total
Total	\$941,894	100%	\$201,867	100%	\$212,566	100%	\$76,437	100%	\$75,968	100%	\$375,057	100%
Engineering Chemistry Physics/astronomy Environmental sciences	254,750 62,172 226,842 104,163	27 7 24 11	47,924 4,455 9,587 22,553	2 5	53,099 56,831 6,305 23,271	25 27 3 11	23,863 589 1,757 9,725	31 1 2 13	11,161 0 19,208 632	15 0 25 1	118,703 296 189,985 47,982	32 * 51 13
Computer science Academic departments Computer facilities	98,745 21,419 77,326		98,614 21,419 77,194	11	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	132 0 132	* 0 *
Agricultural sciences Biological sciences Other, multidisciplinary	14,807 162,801 17,613	2 17 2	2,811 15,165 757	1 8 *	4,226 62,404 6,430	2 29 3	520 36,270 3,713	47	3,960 35,850 5,156		3,289 13,112 1,558	1 3 *

**NOTE:** Data are for the 90 percent of respondents who reported a need for instruments costing \$20,000 or more. The remaining 10 percent of respondents reported that their units did not need any additional instrumentation in that price range.

Because of rounding, details may not add to totals.

**KEY:** \* = less than 0.5 percent

Table A-12. Total cost and percent of total cost to purchase the top three priority items requested, by major type of instrument and field of science and engineering: 1994

Page 1 of 1

												190 1 01 1
Field of science and	All instruments		Computers and data handling instruments		Chromatographs and spectrometers		Microscopy instruments		Bioanalytical instruments		Other instruments	
engineering	Total cost	Percent of total	Total cost	Percent of total	Total cost	Percent of total	Total cost	Percent of total	Total cost	Percent of total	Total cost	Percent of total
Total	\$2,047,800	100%	\$324,591	100%	\$478,276	100%	\$148,705	100%	\$168,748	100%	\$927,480	100%
Engineering Chemistry Physics/astronomy Environmental sciences	534,306 145,675 558,151 199,512	7 27	75,461 6,670 26,337 33,820	8	106,852 129,489 21,194 57,141	27	38,167 818 4,226 36,754	26 1 3 25	20,635 1,398 20,973 6,133	1 12	293,191 7,300 485,421 65,663	32 1 52 7
Computer science Academic departments Computer facilities	146,241 42,454 103,787	2	141,394 40,657 100,737	44 13 31	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	4,847 1,797 3,050	
Agricultural sciences Biological sciences Other, multidisciplinary	48,548 363,263 52,104	18	4,067 33,616 3,227	1 10 1	12,095 136,770 14,734	29	2,120 59,318 7,301	1 40 5	8,415 96,461 14,732	5 57 9	21,850 37,099 12,109	

NOTE:

Data are for the 90 percent of respondents who reported a need for instruments costing \$20,000 or more. The remaining 10 percent of respondents reported that their units did not need any additional instrumentation in that price range.

Because of rounding, details may not add to totals.

**KEY:** \* = less than 0.5 percent

Table A-13. Total cost to purchase the top three priority items requested, by type of instrument and field of science and engineering: 1994

Page 1 of 1

Type of instrument requested	Total	Engi- neering	Chemistry	Physics/ astronomy	Environ- mental sciences	Computer science	Agricul- tural sciences	Biolog- ical sciences	Other, multi- disci- plinary
Total, all instruments	\$2,047,800	\$534,306	\$145,675	\$558,151	\$199,512	\$146,241	\$48,548	\$363,263	\$52,104
Computers and data handling instruments	324,591	75,461	6,670	26,337	33,820	141,394	4,067	33,616	3,227
Graphics/CAD/imaging computer systems . Other computers/components > \$50K Other computers/components < \$50K	90,859 206,882 26,850	23,634 43,116 8,711	4,908 1,524 238	21,631	8,923 21,566 3,331	30,319 104,507 6,568	1,607 1,617 843	16,533 12,123 4,959	2,031 797 399
Chromatographs and spectrometers	478,276	106,852	129,489	21,194	57,141	0	12,095	136,770	14,734
Electron/auger/ion scattering Electron spectroscopy/photo-induced	18,337	6,335	2,575	5,317	3,554	0	427	0	128
emission elemental analyzer  NMR/EPR spectrometer  UV/visible/infrared spectrophotometer  Xray diffraction systems  Chromatographs and elemental analyzers  Other spectroscopy instruments	11,761 230,156 11,724 52,014 48,779 105,506	2,663 48,666 2,556 4,710 14,854 27,068	1,581 84,386 2,520 16,625 2,132 19,669	2,267 5,383 796 4,095 0 3,335	3,291 7,391 943 4,820 11,336 25,807	0 0 0 0 0	1,335 625 822 181 5,201 3,506	623 81,782 4,086 20,829 14,300 15,150	0 1,924 0 754 956 10,971
Microscopy instruments	148,705	38,167	818	4,226	36,754	0	2,120	59,318	7,301
Electron microscopesOther microscopy instruments	84,485 64,220	31,587 6,580	0 818	3,499 727	26,932 9,822	0 0	640 1,480	21,375 37,943	453 6,849
Bioanalytical instruments	168,748	20,635	1,398	20,973	6,133	0	8,415	96,461	14,732
Cell sorters/counters, cytometers Centrifuges and accessories DNA/protein synthesizers/sequencers/	20,885 25,563	0 1,214	0 1,122	0	3,575 622	0 0	0 3,584	16,283 18,443	1,027 579
analyzers	50,139 34,100	303 18,010	198 0	0 234	123 1,315	0 0	1,208 1,883	35,302 12,658	13,005 0
detectors	38,061	1,109	79	20,739	498	0	1,740	13,775	121
Other instruments	927,480	293,191	7,300	485,421	65,663	4,847	21,850	37,099	12,109
Electronics instruments (cameras, etc) Temperature/pressure control/	41,974	25,010		,	613	0	417	3,490	298
measurement instruments  Lasers and optical instruments  Robots, manufacturing machines  Major instruments (telescopes, ships,	21,908 54,131 26,657	11,318 28,401 20,798	198 2,957 0	14,606	1,164 613 148	0 0 261	2,344 0 629	370 6,567 1,400	226 988 1,241
nuclear reactors, wind tunnels, etc) Other, not elsewhere classified	565,725 217,084	96,829 110,835	1,976 2,169		49,888 13,237	2,727 1,859	1,746 16,715	0 25,272	7,501 1,855

**NOTE:** Data are for the 90 percent of respondents who reported a need for instruments costing \$20,000 or more. The remaining 10 percent of respondents reported that their units did not need any additional instrumentation in that price range.

Because of rounding, details may not add to totals.

# APPENDIX B LIST OF SAMPLED INSTITUTIONS

### **SAMPLED INSTITUTIONS**

### **Nonmedical Colleges and Universities**

**Brown University** 

California Institute of Technology

Colorado State University

Cornell University

**Duke University** 

Georgia Institute of Technology

Harvard University

Johns Hopkins University

Louisiana State University

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Michigan State University

Mississippi State University

New Mexico Institute of Mining and

Technology

North Carolina State University

Northeastern University

Northwestern University

Ohio State University

Oklahoma State University

Oregon State University

Pennsylvania State University

**Princeton University** 

Purdue University

Rockefeller University

Stanford University

Stevens Institute of Technology

Temple University

Texas A&M University

Texas Tech University

University of Arizona

University of California at Berkeley

University of California at Davis

University of California at Los Angeles

University of California at San Diego

University of Central Florida

University of Colorado at

Boulder and Denver

University of Connecticut

University of Dayton

University of Denver

University of Illinois at Urbana/Champaign

University of Iowa

University of Kansas

University of Maryland at College Park

University of Michigan

University of Minnesota

University of Nebraska at Lincoln

University of North Dakota

University of Oklahoma

University of Pennsylvania

University of South Alabama

University of Texas at Austin

University of Washington

University of Wisconsin at Madison

Virginia Polytechnic Institute

Washington State University

Yale University

### **Medical Schools**

Albert Einstein College of Medicine

Boston University Medical Campus

**Duke University Medical Center** 

Johns Hopkins University School of

Medicine

Mayo Medical School

Medical College of Ohio at Toledo

Northwestern University Medical School

Ohio State University College of Medicine

Temple University School of Medicine

University of California at Los Angeles

School of Medicine

University of California at San Diego

School of Medicine

University of California at San Francisco

School of Medicine

University of Chicago Pritzker School of

Medicine

University of Cincinnati College of Medicine

University of Colorado School of Medicine

University of Kansas Medical Center

University of Minnesota School of Medicine

University of Nebraska Medical Center

University of North Carolina School

of Medicine

University of Pennsylvania

School of Medicine

University of Texas Health

Sciences Center at San Antonio

University of Texas Southwestern

Medical Center at Dallas

University of Washington

School of Medicine

Yale University School of Medicine

### APPENDIX C

# FIELDS AND SUBFIELDS USED IN THE NATIONAL SURVEY OF RESEARCH INSTRUMENTS AND INSTRUMENTATION NEEDS 1993

# FIELDS AND SUB-FIELDS USED IN THE NATIONAL SURVEY OF RESEARCH INSTRUMENTS AND INSTRUMENTATION NEEDS 1993

ENGINE	ERING	COMPUTER SCIENCE		
101	AEROSPACE ENGINEERING	401 COMPUTER SCIENCE		
102	AGRICULTURAL ENGINEERING	499 GENERAL PURPOSE COMPUT	ER	
103	BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING	FACILITY		
104	CHEMICAL ENGINEERING	AGRICULTURAL SCIENCES (SEE ALSO 102)		
105	CIVIL ENGINEERING	•	,	
106	ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING	501 AGRICULTURAL SCIENCES		
107	ENGINEERING SCIENCE	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES		
108		601 ANATOMY		
	ENGINEERING/MANAGEMENT SCIENCE	602 BIOCHEMISTRY		
109	MECHANICAL ENGINEERING	603 BIOLOGY		
110	METALLURGICAL AND MATERIALS	604 BIOMETRY AND EPIDEMIOLOG	Υ	
	ENGINEERING	605 BIOPHYSICS		
111	MINING ENGINEERING	606 BOTANY		
112	NUCLEAR ENGINEERING	607 CELL BIOLOGY		
113	PETROLEUM ENGINEERING	608 ECOLOGY		
114	ENGINEERING, NOT ELSEWHERE CLASSIFIED (N.E.C.)	609 ENTOMOLOGY AND PARASITO	LOGY	
	CLASSIFIED (N.E.C.)	610 GENETICS		
	AL SCIENCES	611 MICROBIOLOGY, IMMUNOLOG AND VIROLOGY	Υ,	
	ASTRONOMY	612 NUTRITION		
	CHEMISTRY	613 PATHOLOGY		
	PHYSICS	614 PHARMACOLOGY		
204	PHYSICAL SCIENCES, N.E.C.	615 PHYSIOLOGY		
		616 ZOOLOGY		
ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES		617 BIOSCIENCES, N.E.C.		
301	ATMOSPHERIC SCIENCES	699 INTERDISCIPLINARY BIOMEDIC	CAL	
302	GEOSCIENCES	RESEARCH FACILITY		
303	OCEANOGRAPHY	703 CANCER FACILITY		
304	ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES, N.E.C.	OTHER FIELDS		

999 OTHER MULTIDISCIPLINARY FIELD

### APPENDIX D

DEPARTMENT/FACILITY QUESTIONNAIRE

OMB No. 3145-0067 Expiration Date 12/31/94

### National Science Foundation and National Institutes of Health

### National Survey of Academic Research Instruments and Instrumentation Needs

### FY 1993 DEPARTMENT/FACILITY QUESTIONNAIRE

### BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE

This Congressionally-mandated survey is vital to provide the National Science Foundation (NSF), the National Institutes of Health (NIH), and other Federal agencies with data to help set appropriate program priorities and equipment funding levels. In addition, special Federal research equipment programs—such as the NSF Academic Research Infrastructure Program, and the NIH Small Instrumentation Grant Program—were established to help meet the academic instrumentation needs that were identified by this survey in the past.

Institution	
Department/Facility	

This study is authorized by law (P.L. 96-44). Although you are not required to respond, your cooperation is needed to make the results comprehensive, accurate, and timely. Information gathered in this survey will be primarily used for developing statistical summaries. Information from individual institutions may be made available to policymakers and qualified researchers, with the permission of the Presidents of these institutions.

### **INSTRUCTIONS**

- (1) Items 1-6 (Part A) are factual in nature. Informed estimates are acceptable whenever precise information is not available from existing sources. This section may be delegated to any person or persons who can provide the requested data.
- (2) Items 7-13 (Part B) call for judgments about equipment-related research needs and priorities of the department (or facility) as a whole. **These questions should be answered by the department chairperson or facility director,** or by a designee who is knowledgeable about equipment needs.
- (3) Please report data for your institution's 1993 Fiscal Year.
- (4) PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM TO YOUR INSTITUTION'S SURVEY COORDINATOR. Do not mail the form to NSF, NIH, or Quantum Research Corporation (QRC).

For assistance with this questionnaire that cannot be provided by your survey coordinator please contact Atessa Shahmirzadi or Luz Tatum of QRC at (800) 369-0896.

It is estimated that the response to this survey will require an average of one hour. If you wish to comment on this burden, please contact Herman Fleming, Reports Clearance Officer, NSF, at (703) 306-1243, and the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (OMB 3145-0067), Washington, D.C. 20503.

Pa	rt A. Descriptive Information and Instrumen	ntation-Related I	Expenditures				
exis	NOTE: Informed estimates are acceptable whenever precise information is not available from existing sources. This section may be delegated to any person or persons who can provide the requested data.						
1.	This is (Circle One):						
	An academic department <sup>1</sup>						
refe	NOTE: For purposes of this questionnaire, the word "unit" in the following questions refers to your particular department, non-departmental or interdepartmental research facility, center, or institute.						
	,		CK BOX if is an ESTIMATE				
2.	Number (headcount) of FULL-TIME faculty members in your unit:	_					
3.	Number (headcount) of FULL-TIME faculty members in your unit who are participating in separately budgeted research projects:	$s^2$					
4.	Does your unit have any scientific research equipment or equipment system <sup>3</sup> (whether purchased or otherwise acquired) with an ORIGINAL COST of \$20,000 or more? (Circle One)						
	Yes1	(CONTINUE with	item 5a)				

No......2

(SKIP to item 14)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "Academic department" is a degree-granting unit, whereas a non-departmental unit is a non-degree granting unit.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> "Faculty member" includes tenured, non-tenured, teaching, and visiting faculty and researchers of faculty-equivalent rank; it does NOT include postdoctorates.

<sup>3 &</sup>quot;Scientific Research Equipment" is any item (or interrelated collection of items comprising a system) of nonexpendable tangible property or software, having a useful life of more than two years and a cost of \$500 or more, which is wholly or in part used for research. It includes all scientific research equipment acquired from all sources—Federal, State, the institution's own funds, industry, etc. It also includes donated equipment and any on permanent loan.

5a.	ESTIMATED total expenditures in this unit for purification or equipment systems DURING THE INSTITUTE \$	
5b.	ESTIMATED proportion of total expenditures for sources:	equipment in FY 1993 from each of the following
		Percent
	<b>Funding Source</b>	(Estimate)
Fed	leral	
	<ol> <li>National Science Foundation</li> <li>National Institutes of Health</li> <li>Department of Defense</li> <li>Department of Energy</li> <li>Other Federal sources<sup>2</sup></li> </ol>	
	<ul> <li>n-Federal</li> <li>6. Institution or unit funds</li> <li>7. State grant or appropriation</li> <li>8. Industry</li> <li>9. Other non-Federal sources (including private, nonprofit foundations, gifts/donations, bonds)</li> <li>TOTAL</li> </ul>	100%
6.	FY 1993 expenditures for maintenance/repair and unit: ( <b>Do not include fringe benefits or overh</b>	operation of scientific research equipment in this nead costs.)  CHECK BOX if response is an ESTIMATE
	Maintenance/Repair Costs: <sup>3</sup>	\$
	Operating Costs: <sup>4</sup>	\$
	•	Ф
	TOTAL	<b>D</b>
2 ]	"Scientific Research Equipment" is any item (or interrelated tangible property or software, having a useful life of more wholly or in part used for research. Include all scientific State, the institution's own funds, industry, etc. Also included or on permanent loan.  Federal sources include: Departments of Agriculture, Commonth, Housing and Urban Development, Interior, Justice, I Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the Nuclear Regularity.	e than two years and a cost of \$500 or more, which is research equipment acquired from all sources—Federal, lude any expenditures connected with equipment that is nerce, Education, Health and Human Services other than Labor, and Veterans Affairs; and the following agencies:

and Space Administration (NASA). <sup>3</sup> Maintenance/Repair Costs include maintenance agreements, service contract costs, salaries of department- or institutionprovided maintenance/repair personnel, and costs of supplies, equipment, and facilities for servicing research instruments

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Operating Costs include salaries for technicians or other personnel paid to operate research equipment, and costs of supplies and materials used in operating the equipment.

### Part B. Adequacy of and Need for Research Equipment

NOTE: We suggest that these questions be answered by the department chairperson, or facility director, or by a designee who is knowledgeable about equipment needs. Circle the number on the rating scale that best describes the adequacy and need of your department's research equipment. We realize that some instruments in your unit are more adequate to meet your needs than others; nevertheless, please CIRCLE on the rating scales your general impression of the equipment as a WHOLE.

CII	COLL on the rath	g seares your general	impression of the ex	quipment us u vvi				
7a.	The overall capability of the research equipment in my unit to enable existing faculty investigators to pursue their major research interests is:							
	Excellent		Adequate		Poor			
	1	2	3	4	5			
7b.		in Question 7a above h equipment that wou			nated cost to acquire			
			\$	(estimated)				
8.	Over the past tw Substantially Increased	vo years, the needs for	or research equipment Remained About the Same	in my unit have	: Substantially Decreased			
	1	2	3	4	5			
9.	The maintenance Excellent	repair of the research	equipment in my un Adequate	nit is:	Poor 5			
	-	-	J	•	C			
10.	The availability	of resources to operat	e current equipment	in my unit is:				
	Excellent		Adequate		Poor			
	1	2	3	4	5			

11. Please indicate below the three pieces of equipment, costing \$20,000 or more (including the cost of accessories), that are most needed to bring your unit's research equipment up to your faculty's full capabilities.

Using the taxonomy listed below, please choose the code number of the equipment category that most nearly describes the desired equipment, and write those numbers in the three spaces below. In addition to identifying the equipment, please estimate its costs and indicate whether its primary purpose is to (1) replace an existing item; (2) expand capacity—i.e., more copies of existing equipment; or (3) upgrade capabilities—i.e., perform experiments that you cannot do now. **Please list in priority order beginning with priority No. 1.** 

☐ This unit does not need any additional pieces of equipment in this price range. (SKIP to Question 12).

Item Code Number (From the list below)	Approximate Cost per Item	Reason Needed	
1	\$	Replace existing instrument	1
		Expand capacity	2
		Upgrade capabilities	3
2	\$	Replace existing instrument	1
		Expand capacity	2
		Upgrade capabilities	3
3	\$	Replace existing instrument	1
		Expand capacity	
		Upgrade capabilities	3

### **CODE**

### COMPUTERS AND DATA HANDLING

- 01 Graphics/Computer Assisted Design/Imaging Computer Systems
- 02 Other Computer Systems—With purchase price of \$50,000 and more
- Other Computer Systems/Components—With purchase price of less than \$50,000

### CHROMATOGRAPHS AND SPECTROMETERS

- 04 Electron/Auger/Ion Scattering
- 05 Gas/Liquid Chromatograph
- 06 Electron Spectroscopy/Photo Induced Emission Elemental Analyzer
- 07 NMR/EPR Spectrometer
- 08 Ultraviolet/Visible/Infrared Spectrophotometer
- 09 X-Ray Diffraction Systems
- 10 Chromatographs and Elemental Analyzers
- 11 Other Spectroscopy Equipment

### MICROSCOPY EQUIPMENT

- 12 Electron Microscopes
- 13 Other Microscopy Equipment

### **MISCELLANEOUS**

- 14 Cell Sorters/Counters, Cytometers
- 15 Centrifuges and Accessories
- 16 DNA/Protein Synthesizers/Sequencers/Analyzers
- 17 Growth/Environmental Chambers
- 18 Scintillation/Gamma Radiation/Counters/Detectors
- 19 Electronics Equipment (Cameras, etc.)
- 20 Temperature/Pressure Control/Measurement Equipment
- 21 Lasers and Optical Equipment
- 22 Robots, Manufacturing Machines
- 23 Major Prototype Equipment (Telescopes/Astronomical Instrument Systems, Ships, Planes, Nuclear Reactors, Wind Tunnels, MBE Systems, Other Major Systems)
- 24 Other, not elsewhere classified

12.			nipment or equipment systems were possible, indicate below ald be most beneficial to faculty investigators in your unit.
	(Circle One)	Under \$10,000	1
	()	\$10,000-19,999	
		\$20-000-49,999	2 3
		\$50,000-99,999	4
		\$100,000-499,999	5
		\$500,000-999,999	6
		\$1,000,000 and over	7
13.	etc.,) in which	investigators in this departareas of research interest of Yes	narmacokinetics, genetic engineering, superconductivity, rtment (or facility) are unable to perform critical experidue to lack of needed equipment? (Circle One)
		NO	
14.	to complete th		Hours Minutes
	information in	e the name, title, and teleph Part A and Part B. PLE	hone number(s) of the person(s) who provided the EASE PRINT OR TYPE.
	Part A		
	Name		
	Title		
	Telephone No.	( )	FAX ( )
	·	ferent from above)	
	Title		
	Telephone No.	( )	FAX ( )